



INDIANA
DEPARTMENT OF
CHILD
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,
families and future*

Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For May 2008

Region 05 May 2008



**Published
June 2008**



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2007 to May 2008


For May 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	6,801	5,956	87.58%
Region 5	238	202	84.87%
Benton	8	8	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Benton County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2007 to May 2008


For May 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	6,801	5,956	87.58%
Region 5	238	202	84.87%
Carroll	9	9	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Carroll County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2007 to May 2008


For May 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	6,801	5,956	87.58%
Region 5	238	202	84.87%
Clinton	37	34	91.89%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Clinton County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2007 to May 2008


For May 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	6,801	5,956	87.58%
Region 5	238	202	84.87%
Fountain	18	15	83.33%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Fountain County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2007 to May 2008


For May 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	6,801	5,956	87.58%
Region 5	238	202	84.87%
Tippecanoe	151	121	80.13%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Tippecanoe County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2007 to May 2008


For May 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	6,801	5,956	87.58%
Region 5	238	202	84.87%
Warren	3	3	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Warren County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2007 to May 2008


For May 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	6,801	5,956	87.58%
Region 5	238	202	84.87%
White	12	12	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



White County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	9,463	2.17	878	3.30
Region 5	445	2.86	74	4.20
Benton	44	3.64	11	8.27


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Benton County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	9,463	2.17	878	3.30
Region 5	445	2.86	74	4.20
Carroll	11	1.64	0	0.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Carroll County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	9,463	2.17	878	3.30
Region 5	445	2.86	74	4.20
Clinton	69	3.19	6	4.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Clinton County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	9,463	2.17	878	3.30
Region 5	445	2.86	74	4.20
Fountain	42	2.12	16	2.81


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Fountain County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	9,463	2.17	878	3.30
Region 5	445	2.86	74	4.20
Tippecanoe	244	2.75	39	3.77


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Tippecanoe County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	9,463	2.17	878	3.30
Region 5	445	2.86	74	4.20
Warren	12	2.83	0	0.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Warren County Average Visitations and Contacts





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	9,463	2.17	878	3.30
Region 5	445	2.86	74	4.20
White	23	3.39	2	2.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



White County Average Visitations and Contacts





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During May 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Reunifications Occuring During May 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,293	152	11.76%	8,860	252	2.84%
Region 5	39	6	15.38%	199	11	5.53%
Benton County	0	0	NA	8	0	0.00%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 5 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Benton County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During May 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Reunifications Occuring During May 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,293	152	11.76%	8,860	252	2.84%
Region 5	39	6	15.38%	199	11	5.53%
Carroll County	0	0	NA	0	0	NA

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 5 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Carroll County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During May 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Reunifications Occuring During May 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,293	152	11.76%	8,860	252	2.84%
Region 5	39	6	15.38%	199	11	5.53%
Clinton County	5	0	0.00%	16	0	0.00%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 5 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Clinton County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During May 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Reunifications Occuring During May 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,293	152	11.76%	8,860	252	2.84%
Region 5	39	6	15.38%	199	11	5.53%
Fountain County	10	0	0.00%	26	0	0.00%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 5 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Fountain County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During May 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Reunifications Occuring During May 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,293	152	11.76%	8,860	252	2.84%
Region 5	39	6	15.38%	199	11	5.53%
Tippecanoe County	20	5	25.00%	132	11	8.33%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 5 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Tippecanoe County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During May 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Reunifications Occuring During May 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,293	152	11.76%	8,860	252	2.84%
Region 5	39	6	15.38%	199	11	5.53%
Warren County	0	0	NA	2	0	0.00%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 5 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Warren County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008


Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During May 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Apr 2008	Reunifications Occuring During May 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,293	152	11.76%	8,860	252	2.84%
Region 5	39	6	15.38%	199	11	5.53%
White County	4	1	25.00%	15	0	0.00%

Statewide Rates




Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

Region 5 Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate

White County Rates



Adoption Rate Reunification Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,787	46.3%	2,336	22.6%	1,354	13.1%	1,861	18.0%	644.21	410.00
Region 5	162	64.0%	46	18.2%	18	7.1%	27	10.7%	454.47	234.35
Benton	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	302.90	262.50


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



Benton County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,787	46.3%	2,336	22.6%	1,354	13.1%	1,861	18.0%	644.21	410.00
Region 5	162	64.0%	46	18.2%	18	7.1%	27	10.7%	454.47	234.35
Carroll	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.00	0.00


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



Carroll County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,787	46.3%	2,336	22.6%	1,354	13.1%	1,861	18.0%	644.21	410.00
Region 5	162	64.0%	46	18.2%	18	7.1%	27	10.7%	454.47	234.35
Clinton	11	45.8%	8	33.3%	2	8.3%	3	12.5%	622.89	411.50


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



Clinton County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,787	46.3%	2,336	22.6%	1,354	13.1%	1,861	18.0%	644.21	410.00
Region 5	162	64.0%	46	18.2%	18	7.1%	27	10.7%	454.47	234.35
Fountain	20	48.8%	16	39.0%	0	0.0%	5	12.2%	503.09	431.48


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



Fountain County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,787	46.3%	2,336	22.6%	1,354	13.1%	1,861	18.0%	644.21	410.00
Region 5	162	64.0%	46	18.2%	18	7.1%	27	10.7%	454.47	234.35
Tippecanoe	117	73.6%	15	9.4%	10	6.3%	17	10.7%	398.96	164.65


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



Tippecanoe County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,787	46.3%	2,336	22.6%	1,354	13.1%	1,861	18.0%	644.21	410.00
Region 5	162	64.0%	46	18.2%	18	7.1%	27	10.7%	454.47	234.35
Warren	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	270.91	270.50


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



Warren County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,787	46.3%	2,336	22.6%	1,354	13.1%	1,861	18.0%	644.21	410.00
Region 5	162	64.0%	46	18.2%	18	7.1%	27	10.7%	454.47	234.35
White	6	31.6%	6	31.6%	5	26.3%	2	10.5%	684.43	529.51


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



White County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2550	1693	66.39%	2.71
Region 5	62	44	70.97%	2.55
Benton County	2	2	100.00%	2.00


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Benton County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2550	1693	66.39%	2.71
Region 5	62	44	70.97%	2.55
Carroll County	0	0	NA	NA


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Carroll County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2550	1693	66.39%	2.71
Region 5	62	44	70.97%	2.55
Clinton County	7	5	71.43%	2.86


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Clinton County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2550	1693	66.39%	2.71
Region 5	62	44	70.97%	2.55
Fountain County	10	10	100.00%	2.60


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Fountain County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2550	1693	66.39%	2.71
Region 5	62	44	70.97%	2.55
Tippecanoe County	39	25	64.10%	2.49


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Tippecanoe County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2550	1693	66.39%	2.71
Region 5	62	44	70.97%	2.55
Warren County	0	0	NA	NA


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Warren County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2550	1693	66.39%	2.71
Region 5	62	44	70.97%	2.55
White County	4	2	50.00%	2.75


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



White County Percent Together








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.82
Region 5	2.74
Benton	2.13








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.82
Region 5	2.74
Carroll	NA






DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves


For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.82
Region 5	2.74
Clinton	2.92


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 5 Average Number of Placements



Clinton County Average Number of Placements








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.82
Region 5	2.74
Fountain	2.90








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.82
Region 5	2.74
Tippecanoe	2.72








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.82
Region 5	2.74
Warren	2.00








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.82
Region 5	2.74
White	2.75





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10338	7607	73.58%
Region 5	253	147	58.10%
Benton County	8	5	62.50%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Benton County Percent Placed Locally






DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS


For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10338	7607	73.58%
Region 5	253	147	58.10%
Carroll County	0	0	NA


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Carroll County Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10338	7607	73.58%
Region 5	253	147	58.10%
Clinton County	24	13	54.17%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Clinton County Percent Placed Locally






DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS


For May 2007 to May 2008

May 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10338	7607	73.58%
Region 5	253	147	58.10%
Fountain County	41	14	34.15%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Fountain County Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10338	7607	73.58%
Region 5	253	147	58.10%
Tippecanoe County	159	102	64.15%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Tippecanoe County Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10338	7607	73.58%
Region 5	253	147	58.10%
Warren County	2	0	0.00%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Warren County Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10338	7607	73.58%
Region 5	253	147	58.10%
White County	19	13	68.42%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



White County Percent Placed Locally






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
Benton County	8	0	0.00%	4	50.00%	3	37.50%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Benton County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
Carroll County	0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Carroll County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
Clinton County	39	15	38.46%	5	12.82%	14	35.90%	2	5.13%	3	7.69%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Clinton County Percentage Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report


CHINS Placements by County

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
Fountain County	42	1	2.38%	9	21.43%	29	69.05%	2	4.76%	1	2.38%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Fountain County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
Tippecanoe County	240	81	33.75%	35	14.58%	83	34.58%	30	12.50%	11	4.58%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Tippecanoe County Percentage Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report


CHINS Placements by County

For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
Warren County	3	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Warren County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For May 2007 to May 2008


May 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
White County	27	8	29.63%	3	11.11%	11	40.74%	4	14.81%	1	3.70%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



White County Percentage Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
Benton	48	14.58%	8	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Benton County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
Carroll	57	17.54%	9	11.11%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Carroll County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
Clinton	140	24.29%	38	21.05%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Clinton County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
Fountain	66	15.15%	18	27.78%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Fountain County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
Tippecanoe	627	16.59%	156	37.18%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Tippecanoe County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
Warren	29	10.34%	3	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Warren County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
White	109	27.52%	14	35.71%

Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



White County Percent Removal

